

Please amend claim 26, line 7 to change the second occurrence of "number" to --party--, so that the phrase is "the number of the called party."

Please amend claim 31, line 6 to read: "the telephone number of the called party in the called party's jurisdiction, the service calls the called party on a second." In other words, insert --telephone-- before "number," and --in the called party's jurisdiction--after "party," first occurrence.

#### REMARKS

Responsive to the Official Action of February 17, 1999, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Please charge deposit account 024470 the small entity fee of \$55 for the first month extension of time to amend and \$190 for the second month, making a total of \$245 for the two months extension for a timely amendment.

As to paragraph 1, it is noted that the Final rejection was withdrawn.

As to paragraph 2, attached is a Terminal Disclaimer, signed by the registered attorney of record. It is believed that the double patenting rejection is thereby overcome.

As to paragraph 4, claim 25 has been amended to set forth the antecedent basis for the three terms in question. Claims 22-25 are now dependent on a proper claim. It is believed that the Section 112, second paragraph, rejection has been overcome as to these claims.

As to paragraph 5, the inadvertent typographical error of repeating the word "number" when "party" was obviously intended, has been corrected by the foregoing amendment. Claims 27-30 now depend from a proper claim. Claim 31 has been amended to clarify the called party's number and location. It is believed that the 112 rejection of these claims has been overcome.


As to paragraph 8, it is noted that the Examiner has set forth his reasons for believing that "*The International Callback Book*" fails to disclose the claimed invention, even if the book is deemed to be prior art. Applicant continues to take the position that a 1995 book cannot be "prior art" to a patent application having an effective filing date in 1992, within the meaning of the patent laws. However, the issue will be moot, as far as this application is concerned, upon the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Should there be further language changes that might be resolved by an Examiner's Amendment, Applicant respectfully requests a telephone call to expedite the prosecution of this application.

Dated: July 13, 1999

Respectfully submitted,

By



JOHN P. SUTTON

Reg. No. 22,430

BRYAN, HINSHAW & BARNET

425 California Street, Suite 900

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 296-0800

Facsimile: (415) 296-0812

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR § 1.8(A))

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231

Dated:

7-14-99

BRYAN, HINSHAW & BARNET

By:

